

Jurisdictional Issues with Virtual Tour Ops and OTAs





Presenters





- Prof. Dr. Vogel
- Partner with Beiten Burkhardt
- Teaches Travel and Tourism Law at the IUBH Bad Honnef
- Counsel of the German Internet Travel Association





Definitions



- (Virtual) Tour Operator
- Intermediary
- Package Travel
- EU-Package Travel Directive
- Rome Regulations
- Conflict of laws

HospitalityLawyer.com



What is the problem



- By now an old saying:
- The Web changed things
- Bookings beyond borders are possible









- The market is less clear
- Consumer expectations in travel law are shifting
- Access to inventory is easier and faster







- Basic rule for conflict of laws in contracts: the contract is governed by the law at the seat of party that renders the characteristic performance
- Very simple principle

pitalityLawyer.com

 Unless – within EU – the other party is a consumer: that trumps all- if the offer of the company is directed towards the country of the consumer



Some general rules



- Problems are caused, if systems fail to match
 - EU Intermediary sells ex EU packages liability
 - Can customer force a company into a contact?





Recent cases



- Mr. Pammer
 - Booked a trip aboard a freight ship
 - Mr Pammer: an Austrian citizen
 - Booked the trip through a German intermediary
 - The freight ship is run by Schlüter, a German company
 - Due to discrepancies in description and reality, Pammer rescinds the contract and requests repayment









 Schlüter pays back part and finds itself at an Austrian court









- What is Schlüters argument?
 - No Package Travel
 - He never did anything in Austria
- Court
 - Package Travel it is, a consumer contract it ius, and the intermediary advertised through the website that was reachable in Austria
- Appeals Court:
 - No…not a Package









- Federal Court
 - Who knows: the ECJ!
 - Is a freight ship trip package travel?
 - Is a website enough to establish jurisdiction?







- Mr Heller, a German citizen, found the Austrian hotel Alpenhof on the web and booked several rooms
- Booking and confirmation through exchange of emails; hotels email was on website
- Mr Heller was unhappy with the the service provided and, despite being offered a reduction, left without payment









- Alpenhof sues Heller in Austria, for payment.
- Heller's argument: he is a consumer, thus only the German courts are competent









- What did the courts say?
- Heller is right...but still: let`s ask the ECJ to be sure







- Freight ship trips are package travel
 - Consequence:

HospitalityLawyer.com

- Operator must provide bond for travel price
- Operator must deal with claims for defects and the whole mandatory EU package travel regime







- What does "directed" mean what is required
- ECJ: directed means that the company has to establish prior to the contract that it wishes to enter into contracts with consumers residing in other countries







- How to decide this question?
 - Some indications on a website:
 - Int`l character of the business
 - Travel direction from third countries
 - Use of foreign languages on a website
 - International phone numbers listed
 - SEO/SEM for third markets
 - Use of top level domain different from own ccTLD
 - Mentioning int'l customers





ECJ



- Not sufficient:
 - Mere accessability of website
- Not required:
 - Lockout (potentially a violation of EU laws)
 - Explicit declarations





Other current issues



- Liability of the Virtual Tour Operator
 - Caught between airline rules and package travel laws
 - Risk of liability for hotels
- Differentiation between TO-TA
 - Cross-sell
 - ECJ: Cross sell on one website does not lead to TO
 - May be different after revision of EU Package Travel laws





Other current issues



- Liability of TA for Non-EU TO
 - Required information?
 - Governing law?



