

Best Practices in Executive Protection

September 30 - October 1, 2013



George Taylor – Managing Director, Global Response, iJET

- 22 years active service in the USMC, including Chief - Special Missions Branch
- 8 years Program Manager, Protective Services and Threat Intelligence, Glock Group
- Senior Director, Crucible, managed high threat protective operations



Tom Winn – Director, Master of Security Management for Executives at the UH - Downtown

- Former head of Operations and Intelligence, FrontierMEDEX
- 20 years corporate security
- Head of Security for a subsidiary of the Dow Chemical Co.

Best Practices in Executive Protection

Introduction



- The importance of understanding the threats to you, the principle and the organization
- Identifying the executive protection needs for an organization
- An in-house capability
- Use of a third-party provider
- What to expect from your in-house team and/or third-party providers
- Some lessons learned

Threats, Vulnerabilities and Risks



What external or internal threats exist that could impact your personnel?

At home:

- Criminals
- Political extremists
- Terrorists

Away:

- Criminals
- Political extremists
- Local sectarian or tribal groups
- Environmental / social

Intelligence and Executive Protection



- Who is performing your threat analysis?
- Are they overlooking local or internal issues?
- How do you decide who gets what protection and when?
- Is the scale different in other locations and why?
- Is there a clear point when any employee traveling is granted additional security. Not because they are higher in the structure.
- Where is your organizations “duty of care”?

What Your Program Should Look Like



Business Continuity

- Top executives will receive some security based on the current threat to them
- Any individual whose skills are critical may receive special attention

High-Threat / Duty of Care

- Any employee traveling to high-threat areas may receive special protection
- Expatriates may receive special protection
- A specifically-identified threat may warrant temporary protection for individuals

What Should Your Program Look Like



Facilitation and Logistics

- Meet-and-greet services at an airport, where legal
- Transportation in areas where local driving is chaotic or worse
- Local knowledge and local assistance
- Meeting contact for the host facility
- Primary contact for attendees and guests

What Should Your Program Look Like



In House E/P Capability

- A limited number of trained agents/security professionals
- May have a specific role or shared roles based on need
- Texas has a licensure requirement for armed personnel
- Do you include spouses' families?
- Are there tax implications that require review or reporting?
- 24x7 or some limited time/scope

What Should Your Program Look Like



Third Party Provider

- You only pay for what you want or need
- Texas has a licensure requirement for any contract security personnel
- Do you include spouses' families?
- Are there tax implications that require review or reporting?
- 24x7 or some limited time/scope

What Should Your Program Look Like



Training Expectations, Either Contract or In-house

- Education and experience
- Licensures
- Basic training standards
- Recurrent training standards
- Include customer service and/or interpersonal communication
- First Aid/CPR etc.

Thank You



Questions?

Tom Winn winnt@uhd.edu